

Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, DC 20554

In the Matter of)	
)	
Requests for Waiver and Review of Decisions)	
of the Universal Service Administrator by)	
)	
Achieve Career Preparatory Academy)	File Nos. SLD-756133, <i>et al.</i>
Toledo, OH <i>et al.</i>)	
)	
Schools and Libraries Universal Service)	CC Docket No. 02-6
Support Mechanism)	

ORDER

Adopted: July 27, 2011

Released: July 27, 2011

By the Deputy Chief, Telecommunications Access Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau:

1. In this order, we address 22 appeals of decisions by the Universal Administrative Company (USAC) denying funding to the petitioners due to certain clerical or ministerial errors on their FCC Form 471 applications for funding years 2004-2010 under the E-rate program (formally known as the schools and libraries universal service support program).¹ Consistent with precedent, and based on our review of the record, we find that 15 petitioners have demonstrated that special circumstances exist to justify limited waivers of section 54.504(c) of the Commission's rules to allow them to amend their original FCC Form 471 applications (including associated Item 21 attachments) submitted to USAC.² We grant these requests for waiver and remand the underlying applications to USAC for further action consistent with this order.³ We also dismiss one request without prejudice.⁴ We deny, however, six requests for waiver because we find that the petitioners failed to present special circumstances to justify a waiver of the Commission's rules.⁵

¹ The requests for waiver and review are listed in appendices A, B, and C. Section 54.719(c) of the Commission's rules provides that any person aggrieved by an action taken by a division of USAC may seek review from the Commission. 47 C.F.R. § 54.719(c).

² See *Request for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Archer Public Library, et al., Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, File Nos. SLD-140961, *et al.*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 23 FCC Rcd 15518 (Wireline Comp. Bur. 2008) (*Archer Public Library Order*); *Request for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Ann Arbor Public Schools, et al., Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, File Nos. SLD-542873, *et al.*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 25 FCC Rcd 17319 (Wireline Comp. Bur. 2010) (*Ann Arbor Order*); see also *Request for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Bishop Perry Middle School, New Orleans, LA, et al., Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, File Nos. SLD-487170, *et al.*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 21 FCC Rcd 5316 (2006) (*Bishop Perry Order*); *Request for Waivers and Review of the Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Beth Rivka School, et al., Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, File Nos. SLD-631977, *et al.*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 25 FCC Rcd 10653 (Wireline Comp. Bur. 2010) (*Beth Rivka Order*).

³ See appendix A; 47 C.F.R. § 54.504(c).

⁴ See appendix B.

⁵ See appendix C; 47 C.F.R. § 1.3.

2. Section 54.504(c) of the Commission's rules directs E-rate applicants to submit a completed FCC Form 471 application to USAC.⁶ In the *Archer Public Library Order*, the Commission determined that applicants may correct clerical or ministerial errors on their Form 471 without having to file new funding requests with USAC. Applying the standards of the *Archer Public Library Order*, we grant 15 requests seeking limited waivers of the FCC Form 471 application filing provision to allow petitioners to correct certain clerical and ministerial errors in their submitted applications and Item 21 attachments.⁷ Specifically, seven petitioners mischaracterized non-recurring services as recurring services on their FCC Form 471 applications;⁸ three petitioners mischaracterized recurring services as non-recurring services on their FCC Form 471 applications;⁹ two petitioners misidentified their service providers;¹⁰ one petitioner used the wrong funding request number on its FCC Form 471 block 4 worksheet;¹¹ one petitioner corrected an error in the Item 21 attachment to its application and subsequently submitted its corrected FCC Form 471 application after the applicable deadline;¹² and one petitioner's entry for eligible services accidentally used the amount for ineligible services from its Item 21 attachment.¹³ At this time, we find no evidence of waste, fraud, or abuse. Therefore, we find that good cause exists to grant 15 petitioners' requests for waiver and remand the underlying applications listed in appendix A to USAC for further action consistent with this order.¹⁴ In remanding these applications to USAC, we make no finding as to the ultimate eligibility of the services or the petitioners' applications. We also dismiss without prejudice one request from a petitioner that submitted an outdated version of the

⁶ See 47 C.F.R. § 54.504(c).

⁷ See *Archer Public Library Order*, 23 FCC Rcd 15518; appendix A. The Commission may waive any provision of its rules on its own motion and for good cause shown. 47 C.F.R. § 1.3. A rule may be waived where the particular facts make strict compliance inconsistent with the public interest. *Northeast Cellular Telephone Co. v. FCC*, 897 F.2d 1164, 1166 (D.C. Cir. 1990) (*Northeast Cellular*). In addition, the Commission may take into account considerations of hardship, equity, or more effective implementation of overall policy on an individual basis. *WAIT Radio v. FCC*, 418 F.2d 1153, 1157, (D.C. Cir. 1969). In sum, waiver is appropriate if special circumstances warrant a deviation from the general rule, and such deviation would better serve the public interest than strict adherence to the general rule. *Northeast Cellular*, 897 F.2d at 1166; *accord NetworkIP, LLC v. FCC*, 548 F.3d 116, 127 (D.C. Cir. 2008).

⁸ See El Monte Union High School District, Fort Stockton Independent School District, Harlandale Independent School, Kress Independent School District, Quincy School District 144-101, Terlingua Common School District, and Wahlake School District #73; *Ann Arbor Order*, 25 FCC Rcd at 17320, para. 2 (at n.10).

⁹ See Achieve Career Preparatory Academy, George Crockett Academy, and Lake Erie Academy. Achieve also listed an incorrect monthly service charge.

¹⁰ See A.W. Brown-Fellowship Charter School (included an incorrect service provider identification number) and Barren County Schools (listed an ineligible billing entity instead of its actual service provider, which was eligible); *Ann Arbor Order*, 25 FCC Rcd at 17320, para. 2 (at n.8).

¹¹ See Appalachia Intermediate Unit 8; *Ann Arbor Order*, 25 FCC Rcd at 17320, para. 2 (at n.18).

¹² See Griffin-Spalding County School; *Archer Public Library Order*, 23 FCC Rcd at 15522, para. 7 (at n.22).

¹³ See Grand Ledge Public Schools.

¹⁴ See appendix A. In performing a complete review and analysis of the underlying applications, USAC shall either grant the underlying applications before it, or, if denying an application, provide the applicant with all grounds for denial.

FCC Form 470.¹⁵ We deny the remaining six requests seeking waivers because we find that the petitioners failed to present special circumstances justifying a waiver of section 54.504(c) of the Commission's rules.¹⁶ In these cases, the petitioners sought to retroactively supplement their funding requests. In the *Beth Rivka Order*, we held that adjustments to funding requests based on revised needs are not clerical or ministerial errors of the type addressed in the *Bishop Perry Order* or the *Archer Public Library Order*, but rather are substantive changes that require applicants to file new funding requests.¹⁷

3. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to the authority contained in sections 1-4 and 254 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151-154 and 254, and sections 0.91, 0.291, 1.3 and 54.722(a) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, 1.3 and 54.722(a), that the requests for review or requests for waiver filed by the petitioners listed in appendix A ARE GRANTED and their underlying applications ARE REMANDED to USAC for further consideration in accordance with the terms of this order.

4. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to the authority contained in sections 1-4 and 254 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151-154 and 254, and sections 0.91, 0.291, 1.3 and 54.722(a) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, 1.3 and 54.722(a), that section 54.504(c) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 54.504(c), IS WAIVED for the petitioners listed in appendix A to the limited extent provided herein.

5. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to the authority contained in sections 1-4 and 254 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151-154 and 254, and sections 0.91, 0.291, and 54.722(a) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, and 54.722(a), that the request for review or request for waiver filed by the petitioner listed in appendix B IS DISMISSED without prejudice.

6. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to the authority contained in sections 1-4 and 254 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151-154 and 254, and sections 0.91, 0.291, and 54.722(a) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, and 54.722(a), that the requests for review or requests for waiver filed by the petitioners listed in appendix C ARE DENIED.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Gina Spade
Deputy Chief
Telecommunications Access Policy Division
Wireline Competition Bureau

¹⁵ See appendix B. While in the *Bishop Perry Order*, the Commission granted appeals where the petitioners had used outdated versions of the FCC Form 470, in this instance, Brandon School has failed to specify the relief it seeks. See *Bishop Perry Order*, 21 FCC Rcd at 5321, para. 10 (at n.29). Therefore, we dismiss its appeal without prejudice.

¹⁶ See appendix C; 47 C.F.R. § 54.504(c).

¹⁷ See *Beth Rivka Order*, 25 FCC Rcd at 10662, para. 20.

APPENDIX A

Requests Granted

Petitioner	Application Number	Funding Year	Date Request for Review/Waiver Filed
Achieve Career Preparatory Academy Toledo, OH	756133	2010	June 13, 2011
Appalachia Intermediate Unit 8 Altoona, PA	687264	2009	Dec. 22, 2010
A.W. Brown-Fellowship Charter School Dallas, TX	389980	2004	Apr. 29, 2005
Barren County Schools Glasgow, KY	462939	2005	May 5, 2006
El Monte Union High School District El Monte, CA	694752	2009	May 31, 2011
Fort Stockton Independent School District Fort Stockton, TX	672204	2009	Dec. 2, 2010
George Crockett Academy Detroit, MI	755332	2010	May 2, 2011
Grand Ledge Public Schools Grand Ledge, MI	670151	2009	Aug. 25, 2010
Griffin-Spalding County School Griffin, GA	711746	2010	May 24, 2011
Harlandale Independent School District San Antonio, TX	679511	2009	Feb. 7, 2011
Kress Independent School District Kress, TX	683833	2009	Feb. 16, 2011
Lake Erie Academy Toledo, OH	757723	2010	May 5, 2011
Quincy School District 144-101 Quincy, WA	605832, 608827	2008	June 8, 2010
Terlingua Common School District Terlingua, TX	693424	2009	Mar. 10, 2011
Wahluke School District #73 Mattawa, WA	632384	2008	Dec. 22, 2009

APPENDIX B

Request Dismissed

Petitioner	Application Number	Funding Year	Date Request for Review/Waiver Filed
Brandon School Natick, MA	FCC Form 471 not filed	2006	Mar. 18, 2007

APPENDIX C

Requests Denied

Petitioner	Application Number	Funding Year	Date Request for Review/Waiver Filed
Bethel Hill Elementary School Roxboro, NC	548080	2007	Feb. 20, 2008
Greyhills Academy High School Tuba City, AZ	581142 604436	2008	Apr. 23, 2009
Highland Charter School Gastonia, NC	715336	2010	Oct. 18, 2010
Marietta City School District Marietta, OH	749368	2010	May 9, 2011
Northeastern Wayne School Corporation Fountain City, IN	667206	2009	Apr. 30, 2010
Portsmouth Public Library Portsmouth, VA	678482	2009	July 9, 2009

APPENDIX

Applicant/Service Provider Name	Application Number	Funding Year	Date Filed
Ann Arbor Public Schools Ann Arbor, MI	542873	2007	Oct. 26, 2007
Avery County School District Newland, NC	686081	2009	Jul. 2, 2009
Baxley Wilderness Institute Baxley, GA	577689	2007	May 27, 2008
Burlington County Special Services District Mount Holly, NJ	671143	2009	Feb. 3, 2010
Darcomm Network Solutions (Cartwright School District) Phoenix, AZ	408211	2004	Feb. 28, 2007
Centralia School District Centralia, WA	616328, 616414	2008	Oct. 14, 2009
Quest (Chico Unified School District) Sacramento, CA	414517	2005	Nov. 3, 2006
Clare-Gladwin RESD Clare, MI	650762	2009	July 30, 2009
Cocke County Schools Newport, TN	684282, 684298	2009	Oct. 19, 2010
Community School for Apprenticeship Learning Baton Rouge, LA	405952	2004	July 24, 2007
Garvey School District Rosemead, CA	632417	2008	Sept. 2, 2009
Harmony Area School District Westover, PA	471000	2005	Aug. 18, 2008
Holcomb Unified School District 363 Holcomb, KS	724354	2010	Nov. 4, 2010
Illinois School for the Visually Impaired Jacksonville, IL	619896	2008	Oct. 23, 2008
International College Preparatory Academy Cincinnati, OH	447722	2005	Nov. 8, 2006
Iredell-Statesville Schools Statesville, NC	729572	2010	Sept. 21, 2010
Liberty Public Schools Liberty, MO	518119	2006	Jan. 22, 2007
Cybertek Computer and Networking Services (Long Beach Unified School District) Long Beach, CA	680511	2009	July 30, 2010
Miami-Dade County Public Schools Miami, FL	448782	2005	May 17, 2006
New Kent County Public Schools New Kent, VA	376935	2009	Jan. 3, 2010
Nur-Ul-Islam Academy Cooper City, FL	585505	2007	Apr. 15, 2008

Applicant/Service Provider Name	Application Number	Funding Year	Date Filed
Nur-Ul-Islam Academy Cooper City, FL	564645	2007	May 12, 2008
Oklahoma City Public Schools Oklahoma City, OK	262187	2001	Jan. 16, 2003
Okmulgee Independent School District 1 Okmulgee, OK	547821	2007	Dec. 28, 2007
Rio Dell Elementary School District Rio Dell, CA	654733	2009	Jan. 5, 2010
Rio Grande City Consolidated Independent School District Rio Grande City, TX	477962	2005	Jan. 22, 2007
Roosevelt School District No. 66 Phoenix, AZ	510708	2006	Mar. 20, 2007
Rosemead Elementary School District Rosemead, CA	574972	2007	May 16, 2008
St. John the Apostle School Lincoln, NE	752047	2010	July 21, 2010
San Bernardino City Unified School District San Bernardino, CA	536215	2006	Sept. 8, 2009
Santa Clara County Office of Education San Jose, CA	537410	2006	Apr. 2, 2007
Shasta Union High School District Redding, CA	495586	2006	Sept. 5, 2007
South O'Brien Community School District Paullina, IA	686793	2009	Jun. 25, 2009
Taos Municipal Schools Taos, NM	668143	2009	Nov. 24, 2009
Wallace School District 65-R Wallace, NE	516178	2006	Feb. 13, 2007
Winchester Public Schools Winchester, MA	459562	2005	Jan. 30, 2006
York County School District 1 York, SC	453973	2005	Sept. 18, 2006
Yupit School District Akiachak, AK	428696	2004	Apr. 20, 2006

>

Federal Communications Commission DA 10-2354
 Before the
 Federal Communications Commission
 Washington, DC 20554
 In the Matter of)

)
 Requests for Waiver and Review of Decisions)
 of the Universal Service Administrator by)

)
 Ann Arbor Public Schools) File Nos. SLD-542873, et al.
 Ann Arbor, MI, et al.)

)
 Schools and Libraries Universal Service) CC Docket No. 02-6
 Support Mechanism)

ORDER

Adopted: December 16, 2010 Released: December 16, 2010

By the Deputy Chief, Telecommunications Access Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau:

1. In this order, we grant 38 appeals of decisions of the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) from schools and libraries seeking to correct ministerial or clerical errors on their FCC Forms 470 and 471 and other related forms for funding under the E-rate program (formally known as the schools and libraries universal service support program).¹ As an initial matter, we find that five appellants warrant waivers of our appeal filing deadline because the appeals involved errors by USAC or an appeal was filed within 60 days of the appellant receiving actual notice.² Next, consistent with the Bureau's Archer Public Library Order³ and based on our review of the record, we find good cause exists to waive sections 54.504(b), 54.504(c), and 54.507(c), as necessary, of the Commission's rules to permit these petitioners to correct ministerial or clerical errors on their original FCC Forms 470 and 471 and other related forms or submissions to USAC.⁴

2. Specifically, we find that the petitioners inadvertently made ministerial or clerical errors while completing their FCC forms, while responding to USAC requests for additional information during

1 In this order, we use the term "appeals" to refer generally to requests for review of decisions issued by USAC. Section 54.719(c) of the Commission's rules provides that any person aggrieved by an action taken by a division of USAC may seek review from the Commission. 47 C.F.R. § 54.719(c). A list of the appeals is attached in the appendix.

2 47 C.F.R. § 54.720. We first find that Illinois School for the Visually Impaired, International College Preparatory Academy, and Nur-Ul-Islam Academy were seeking to correct errors made by USAC in processing their applications but were not aware of those errors until after the 60-day appeal deadline. We also find that Greater Albany Public Schools and Holcomb Unified School District 363 filed their respective appeals within 60 days of discovering or receiving notice of the defects in their applications.

3 Request for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Archer Public Library, et al., Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism, File Nos. SLD-140961, et al., CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 23 FCC Rcd 15518 (2008) (Archer Order) (granting waivers permitting petitioners to correct clerical or ministerial errors in their FCC Forms 471 or associated item 21 attachments).

4 See appendix; 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.504(b), 54.504(c), 54.507(c).

Federal Communications Commission DA 10-2354

2

the application review process, or while making requests for service substitution.⁵ These errors include: failing to timely notify USAC to correct a USAC clerical error, 6 entering the wrong FCC Form 470 number, wrong billed entity number, or wrong billed entity number/worksheet number on their FCC Form 471;⁷ entering the wrong name or service provider identification number (SPIN);⁸ entering the wrong expiration date for a contract;⁹ erroneously characterizing the purchase and installation of equipment as a recurring service;¹⁰ making a calculation error;¹¹ entering the monthly charge as the annual charge;¹² entering the discounted annual price rather than the pre-discount annual price;¹³ entering the amount that a service provider was mistakenly temporarily charging rather than the contracted monthly rate;¹⁴ miscalculating its discount rate;¹⁵ failing to separately list a building where equipment was to be located;¹⁶ failing to enter a request for telecommunications service that was clearly indicated on its item 21 attachment;¹⁷ basing its block 5 funding requests on the wrong FCC Form 471 block 4 worksheet;¹⁸ selecting the wrong term or service;¹⁹ selecting the wrong category of service in its FCC Form 471; 20 making a typographical error in recording the cost of ineligible equipment in response to a USAC request for additional data;²¹ failing to follow the correct procedure for modifying its FCC Form

5 Ministerial and clerical errors are those that would, for example, be made when entering data from one list to another, such as mistyping a number, using the wrong name or phone number, failing to enter an item from the source list onto the application, or making an arithmetical error.

6 Illinois School for the Visually Impaired (misplaced decimal point), International College Preparatory Academy (treating a monthly bill as an annual total), Nur-Ul-Islam Academy (treating a monthly bill as an annual total) (concerning application 585505).

7 Clare-Gladwin RESD, Roosevelt School District No. 66, Santa Clara County Office of Education, Shasta Union High School District, Wallace School District 65-R, Winchester Public Schools, York County School District 1.

8 Burlington County Special Services District, New Kent County Public Schools (gave wrong name of service provider).

9 Ann Arbor Public Schools.

10 Cartwright School District, Centralia School District, Cocke County Schools, Community School for Apprenticeship Learning, Harmony Area School District, Long Beach Unified School District.

11 Okmulgee Independent School District 1.

12 Oklahoma City Public Schools.

13 South O'Brien Community School District.

14 Avery County School District.

15 Rio Dell Elementary School District.

16 Garvey School District, Taos Municipal Schools.

17 St. John the Apostle School (concerning application 752047).

18 San Bernardino City Unified School District.

19 Nur-Ul-Islam Academy (concerning application 564645), Rio Grande City Consolidated Independent School

District.

20 Miami-Dade County Public Schools, Holcomb Unified School District 363.

21 Rosemead Elementary School District (the cost of ineligible equipment, the amount of which was to be subtracted from a total purchase, was mistakenly recorded during PIA review as \$44,543.25 x 2, instead of \$4,543.25 x 2).

Federal Communications Commission DA 10-2354

3

471;22 mistakenly providing the wrong documentation concerning a purchase; 23 and describing the service it purchased as for its entire district when it was only intended to serve a single elementary school.24 In addition, one applicant omitted a service from a service substitution request,25 and another entered the wrong application number on the certifications it submitted and apparently failed to press the submit button to submit its otherwise completed application.26

3. To ensure that the underlying applications are resolved expeditiously, we direct USAC to complete its review of the underlying applications listed in the appendix and issue an award or a denial based on a complete review and analysis no later than 120 calendar days from the release date of this order.27

4. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to the authority contained in sections 1-4 and 254 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151-154 and 254, and sections 0.91, 0.291, 1.3 and 54.722(a) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, 1.3 and 54.722(a), that the requests for review filed by the petitioners listed in the appendix ARE GRANTED and their applications ARE REMANDED to USAC for further consideration to the extent provided herein.

5. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to the authority contained in sections 1-4 and 254 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151-154 and 254, and sections 0.91, 0.291, and 1.3 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, and 1.3, that sections 54.504(b), 54.504(c), and 54.507(c) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.504(b), 54.504(c), and 54.507(c) ARE WAIVED for the petitioners listed in the appendix as provided herein, and that section 54.720 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 54.720, IS WAIVED for the petitioners listed in footnote 2.

6. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to the authority contained in sections 1-4 and 254 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151-154 and 254, that USAC SHALL COMPLETE its review of each remanded application listed in the appendix and ISSUE an award or a denial based on a complete review and analysis no later than 120 calendar days from the release date of this order.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Gina M. Spade

Deputy Chief

Telecommunications Access Policy Division

Wireline Competition Bureau

22 Baxley Wilderness Institute.

23 Yupit School District.

24 Liberty Public Schools.

25 Chico Unified School District (substitution request inadvertently omitted a service whose cost was included in the submitted total).

26 Iredell-Statesville Schools.

27 In performing a complete review and analysis of the underlying application, USAC shall either grant the underlying application before it, or, if denying the application, provide the applicant with any and all grounds for denial.

Federal Communications Commission DA 10-2354

4

APPENDIX

Applicant/Service Provider Name Application

Number

Funding

Year

Date Filed

Ann Arbor Public Schools

Ann Arbor, MI

542873 2007 Oct. 26, 2007

Avery County School District

Newland, NC

686081 2009 Jul. 2, 2009

Baxley Wilderness Institute

Baxley, GA

577689 2007 May 27, 2008

Burlington County Special Services District

Mount Holly, NJ

671143 2009 Feb. 3, 2010

Darcomm Network Solutions

(Cartwright School District)

Phoenix, AZ

408211 2004 Feb. 28, 2007

Centralia School District

Centralia, WA

616328, 616414 2008 Oct. 14, 2009

Quest

(Chico Unified School District)

Sacramento, CA

414517 2005 Nov. 3, 2006

Clare-Gladwin RESD

Clare, MI

650762 2009 July 30, 2009

Cocke County Schools

Newport, TN

684282, 684298 2009 Oct. 19, 2010

Community School for Apprenticeship

Learning

Baton Rouge, LA

405952 2004 July 24, 2007
 Garvey School District
 Rosemead, CA
 632417 2008 Sept. 2, 2009
 Harmony Area School District
 Westover, PA
 471000 2005 Aug. 18, 2008
 Holcomb Unified School District 363
 Holcomb, KS
 724354 2010 Nov. 4, 2010
 Illinois School for the Visually Impaired
 Jacksonville, IL
 619896 2008 Oct. 23, 2008
 International College Preparatory Academy
 Cincinnati, OH
 447722 2005 Nov. 8, 2006
 Iredell-Statesville Schools
 Statesville, NC
 729572 2010 Sept. 21, 2010
 Liberty Public Schools
 Liberty, MO
 518119 2006 Jan. 22, 2007
 Cybertek Computer and Networking
 Services
 (Long Beach Unified School District)
 Long Beach, CA
 680511 2009 July 30, 2010
 Miami-Dade County Public Schools
 Miami, FL
 448782 2005 May 17, 2006
 New Kent County Public Schools
 New Kent, VA
 376935 2009 Jan. 3, 2010
 Nur-Ul-Islam Academy
 Cooper City, FL
 585505 2007 Apr. 15, 2008
 Federal Communications Commission DA 10-2354
 5
 Applicant/Service Provider Name Application
 Number
 Funding
 Year
 Date Filed
 Nur-Ul-Islam Academy
 Cooper City, FL
 564645 2007 May 12, 2008
 Oklahoma City Public Schools
 Oklahoma City, OK
 262187 2001 Jan. 16, 2003
 Okmulgee Independent School District 1
 Okmulgee, OK
 547821 2007 Dec. 28, 2007
 Rio Dell Elementary School District
 Rio Dell, CA
 654733 2009 Jan. 5, 2010
 Rio Grande City Consolidated Independent
 School District
 Rio Grande City, TX
 477962 2005 Jan. 22, 2007
 Roosevelt School District No. 66
 Phoenix, AZ
 510708 2006 Mar. 20, 2007
 Rosemead Elementary School District
 Rosemead, CA
 574972 2007 May 16, 2008
 St. John the Apostle School
 Lincoln, NE
 752047 2010 July 21, 2010
 San Bernardino City Unified School District
 San Bernardino, CA
 536215 2006 Sept. 8, 2009
 Santa Clara County Office of Education
 San Jose, CA
 537410 2006 Apr. 2, 2007
 Shasta Union High School District
 Redding, CA
 495586 2006 Sept. 5, 2007
 South O'Brien Community School District
 Paullina, IA
 686793 2009 Jun. 25, 2009
 Taos Municipal Schools
 Taos, NM
 668143 2009 Nov. 24, 2009
 Wallace School District 65-R
 Wallace, NE
 516178 2006 Feb. 13, 2007

Winchester Public Schools
Winchester, MA
459562 2005 Jan. 30, 2006
York County School District 1
York, SC
453973 2005 Sept. 18, 2006
Yupit School District
Akiachak, AK
428696 2004 Apr. 20, 2006